UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgima 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE

23389 7590 03242009 SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDER CITY PLAZA SUITE 300

GARDEN CITY NY 11530

EXAMINER

PADCIETE, MARIANNE L

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1792

DATE MAILED: 0924/2009

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,648	09/30/2003	Kwang Su Choe	YOR920030294US1	4794
TITLE OF INVENTION: SO	OI BY OXIDATION OF PO	ROUS SILICON	(16817)	

APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE DUE	PUBLICATION FEE DUE	PREV. PAID ISSUE FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO	\$1510	\$300	\$0	\$1810	06/24/2009

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ISSUE FEE AND PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED. SEE 35 U.S.C. 1SI. THE ISSUE FEE DUE INDICATED ABOVE DOES NOT REFLECT A CREDIT FOR ANY PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE IN THIS APPLICATION. IF AN ISSUE FEE HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN PAID IN THIS APPLICATION (AS SHOWN ABOVE), THE RETURN OF PART B OF THIS FORM WILL BE CONSIDERED A REQUEST TO REAPPLY THE PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE TOWARD THE ISSUE FEE NOW DUE.

HOW TO REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

I. Review the SMALL ENTITY status shown above.

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as YES, verify your current SMALL ENTITY status:

A. If the status is the same, pay the TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above.

B. If the status above is to be removed, check box 5b on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and twice the amount of the ISSUE FEE shown above, or

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as NO:

A. Pay TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above, or

B. If applicant claimed SMALL ENTITY status before, or is now claiming SMALL ENTITY status, check box 5a on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and I/2 the ISSUE FIEE shown above.

II. PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL, or its equivalent, must be completed and returned to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) with your ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). If you are charging the fee(s) to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted. If an equivalent of Part B is filed, a request to reapply a previously paid issue fee must be clearly made, and delays in processing may occur due to the difficulty in recognizing the paper as an equivalent of Part B.

III. All communications regarding this application must give the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.

PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL

Complete and send this form, together with applicable fee(s), to: Mail Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or Fax (571)-273-2885

appropriate. All further indicated unless corrects maintenance fee notifica	correspondence includir ed below or directed oth	or transi ig the Pa ierwise i	mitting the 1880 atent, advance or in Block 1, by (a	ders and notification of specifying a new corre	maintenance fees v espondence address	vill be and/o	mailed to the current r (b) indicating a sepa	correspondence a rate "FEE ADDR	ddress as ESS" for
CURRENT CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS (Note: Use Block 1 for any change of address)				No Fer par hav	Note: A certificate of mailing can only be used for domestic mailings of the Fee(s) Transmittal. This certificate cannot be used for any other accompanying papers. Each additional paper, such as an assignment or formal drawing, must have its own certificate of mailing or transmission.				
400 GARDEN O SUITE 300			SER, PC		Cor	tificat.	e of Mailing or Trans (s) Transmittal is being fficient postage for fire ISSUE FEE address 71) 273-2885, on the d	mission	
GARDEN CITY	, NY 11530							(Deposi	itor's name)
				L					(Signature)
				L					(Date)
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE			FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	3	ATTC	DRNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATIO	N NO.
10/674,648 TITLE OF INVENTION	09/30/2003 SOI BY OXIDATION	OF POR	OUS SILICON	Kwang Su Choe		YC	DR920030294US1 (16817)	4794	
APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISS	UE FEE DUE	PUBLICATION FEE DUE	PREV. PAID ISSU	E FEE	TOTAL FEE(8) DUE	DATE DU	Æ
nonprovisional	NO		\$1510	\$300	\$0		\$1810	06/24/20	109
EXAM	IINER	А	ART UNIT	CLASS-SUBCLASS	1				
PADGETT, M	IARIANNE L		I792	427-526000	_				
"Fee Address" ind PTO/SB/47; Rev 03-0 Number is required. 3. ASSIGNEE NAME A	ondence address (or Cha 3/122) attached. ication (or "Fee Address 12 or more recent) attach ND RESIDENCE DATZ less an assignce is ident h in 37 CFR 3.II. Comp	nge of C 'Indicated. Use	forrespondence ion form of a Customer	2. For printing on the (1) the names of up to a agents OR, alternat (2) the name of a sing registered attorney or 2 registered patent at its listed, no name will be THE PATENT (print or ty data will appear on the part of the company of the part	o 3 registered pater ively, the firm (having as a agent) and the nam orneys or agents. If e printed. (pe) patent. If an assign assignment.	memb es of u no nan	per a 2pp to a 3	ocument has been	
Please check the appropr	iate assignee category or	categori	es (will not be pr	inted on the patent):	Individual 🗆 Co	orporat	ion or other private gro	oup entity 🗖 Go	vernment
4a. The following fee(s) Issue Fee Publication Fee (N	vo small entity discount p	ermitted		o. Payment of Fee(s): (Ple A check is enclosed. Payment by credit cs The Director is hereboverpayment, to Dep	ard. Form PTO-2038	is att	ached.		any s form).
- 11	s SMALL ENTITY state	s. See 3	7 CFR I.27.	b. Applicant is no lo					
NOTE: The Issue Fee an interest as shown by the	d Publication Fee (if req records of the United Sta	iired) wi tes Pater	ill not be accepted at and Trademark	from anyone other than Office.	the applicant; a regi	stered	attorney or agent; or th	e assignee or othe	r party in
Authorized Signature					Date				
Typed or printed name					Registration N	lo			
This collection of inform an application. Confiden submitting the complete this form and/or suggests Box 1450, Alexandria, V Alexandria, Virginia 223	nation is required by 37 C tiality is governed by 35 d application form to the ions for reducing this but 'irginia 22313-1450. DC k13-1450.	FR 1.31 U.S.C. I USPTO den, sho NOT S	The information The information The will vary suld be sent to the END FEES OR Communication	on is required to obtain or 1.14. This collection is e- depending upon the indi e Chief Information Offic COMPLETED FORMS T	retain a benefit by t stimated to take 12 vidual case. Any co er, U.S. Patent and O THIS ADDRESS	he pub minute ommen Trader S. SEN	lic which is to file (and s to complete, includir ts on the amount of ti- mark Office, U.S. Dep D TO: Commissioner	by the USPTO to g gathering, preparate you require to artment of Common for Patents, P.O. E	process) uring, and complete erce, P.O. Box 1450,

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virgima 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/674,648		09/30/2003	Kwang Su Choe	YOR920030294US1	4794	
23389	7590	03/24/2009		EXAM	IINER	
SCULLY SCO	OTT MUE	RPHY & PRE	PADGETT, MARIANNE L			
400 GARDEN	CITY PLA	ZA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 300 GARDEN CIT	Y, NY 115	30		1792 DATE MAII ED: 03/24/200	9	

Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)

(application filed on or after May 29, 2000)

The Patent Term Adjustment to date is 845 day(s). If the issue fee is paid on the date that is three months after the mailing date of this notice and the patent issues on the Tuesday before the date that is 28 weeks (six and a half months) after the mailing date of this notice, the Patent Term Adjustment will be 845 day(s).

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Adjustment is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) WEB site (http://pair.uspto.gov).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571)-272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at 1-(888)-786-0101 or (571)-272-4200.

Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s)

10/674,648 CHOE ET AL.

Examiner Art Unit

MARIANNE I PARGETT 1792

	Lamine	Alt Ollic	
	MARIANNE L. PADGETT	1792	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) MARIANNE L. PADGETT.	(3)		
(2) <u>Harry Hild</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 16 March 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	t)[☐ applicant's representative	•]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 40.			
Identification of prior art discussed: 6,800,518.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement	was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no crallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERIER A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERIER CONTRACTOR OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERIER OF THE SUBSTANCE	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APP ' DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS HIS
/Marianne L. Padgett/ Primary Examiner. Art Unit 1792			

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record
A complete written statement as to the substance of any factor-bace, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application where or not an apprenent with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patient of Trademark Office should be Iransacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attomeys or agents at the Patient and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patient and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant of the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the interview Summay Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the
- Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully
 - describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials. Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)

Application No. 10/674,648

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Hild called the examiner for an impromptu interview, to clarify the 112 problem with respect to the first ion implantation step in claim 40. After several misunderstandings as to how the limitation of "a depth ringing from 250 nm to 1500 nm from a top surface..." was intended to be interpreted, it was agreed that the intent of the phrasing was that the dopant implantation region started as measured from the top surface at a minimum of 250 nm, and ranged down to a depth of a maximum of 1500 nm, also as measured from the top surface. Applicant's representative expressed doubt that he could amend the claims to be any clearer & the examiner noted that if that were the case appropriate statements on the record could be acceptable, but she had not reviewed the issues in depth recently.

Mr. Hild also noted that he thought the proper steps have been taken to remove Bendernagel et al. (6,800,518) as a prior art reference under 103(c), however the examiner noted that since there were new matter issues in the previous rejection, applicants could not derive benefit of the filing date until the new matter issues was removed.

MLP/dictation software 3/18/2009